

# Lecture 15

Mon Mar/11/2024

## Last time

- ▷ Intro to Markov chains
- ▷ Formal construction

## Today

- ▷ Formal construction
- ▷ Markov Property.

## Formal construction continued

Recall that given a measure  $\mu$  for  $X_0$  we defined a measure over  $(S^n, \mathcal{S}^n)$

$$P(X_j \in B_j, 0 \leq j \leq n) =$$

$$\int_{B_0} \mu(dx_0) \int_{B_1} p(x_0, dx_1) \dots \int_{B_n} p(x_{n-1}, dx_n).$$

which we then extended via Kolmogorov's extension Theorem to a measure  $P_\mu$  on  $(\Omega_\infty, \mathcal{F}_\infty) = (S^\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{S}^\mathbb{N})$

Note that this construction yields measures for each  $x \in S$  via  $\mu = \delta_x$ , we use  $P_x = P_{\delta_x}$ . Further, for an arbitrary  $\mu$

$$P_\mu(A) = \int \mu(dx) P_x(A).$$

Let  $E_x$  as well.

Our goal today is to prove several

versions of Markov's Property. Recall  $X_n(\omega) = \omega_n$ .

**Theorem (Markov's)**  $X_n$  is a Markov chain with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$  with transition probability  $p$ , i.e.,

$$P_\mu(X_{n+1} \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_n) = p(X_n, B).$$

**Proof:** We show that  $p(X_n, B)$  is a version of  $E[\mathbb{1}_{\{X_{n+1} \in B\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_n]$ .  $p(X_n, B)$  is clearly  $\mathcal{F}_n$  measurable. Let  $A = \{X_0 \in B_0, X_1 \in B_1, \dots, X_n \in B_n\}$ ,  $B_{n+1} = B$ . By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{n+1} \in B\}} dP_\mu &= P_\mu(A \cap \{X_{n+1} \in B\}) \\ &= \int_{B_0} \mu(dx_0) \int_{B_1} p(x_0, dx_1) \dots \int_{B_n} p(x_{n-1}, dx_n) p(x_n, B) \end{aligned}$$

We would like to say that

$$\stackrel{?}{=} \int_A p(X_{n+1}, B) dP_\mu. \quad (?)$$

To do so we follow a standard pipeline, note that for any  $C \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\int_A \mathbb{1}_C(X_n) dP_\mu = \int_{B_0} \mu(dx_0) \dots \int_{B_n} p(x_{n-1}, dx_n) \mathbb{1}_C(x_n).$$

Then, we have equality for simple functions

and by BCT the equality is valid for bounded measurable functions and so (?) follows.

A simple computation reveals that the set  $A$  s.t.

$$\int_A \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{n+1} \in B\}} dP_\mu = \int_A p(X_{n+1}, B) dP_\mu \quad (\star)$$

forms a  $\lambda$ -system. Moreover, we proved that this equality holds for  $A = \{X_0 \in B_0, \dots, X_n \in B_n\}$  which forms a  $\pi$ -system. By the  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  Theorem (Thm 2.1.6 in Durrett) equality  $(\star)$  holds  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , which proves the result.  $\square$

Next we prove a couple of extensions of the Markov Property where  $\mathbb{1}_{\{X_{n+1} \in B\}}$  is substituted by a bounded fun. of the future,  $h(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots)$ . Let  $\Theta_m: \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Omega_0$  given by

$$\Theta_m(\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots) = (\omega_m, \omega_{m+1}, \dots).$$

**Theorem (Markov 1)** Let  $Y: \Omega_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded

and measurable. Then

$$(b) \mathbb{E}_x(Y \circ \theta_m | \mathcal{F}_m) = \mathbb{E}_{X_m} Y$$

Expectation of  $Y(X_m, X_{m+1}, \dots)$  with  $X_m$  fixed.  $\rightarrow$

Corollary (Chapman-Kolmogorov) If  $S$  is countable, then

$$P_x(X_{n+m} = z) = \sum_{y \in S} P_x(X_m = y) P_y(X_n = z).$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} P_x(X_{n+m} = z) &= \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{1}_{\{X_{n+m} = z\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{X_{n+m} = z\}} | \mathcal{F}_m]] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Markov 1}}{=} \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{E}_{X_m}[\mathbb{1}_{\{X_n = z\}}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x[P_{X_m}(X_n = z)] \\ &= \sum_{y \in S} P_x(X_m = y) P_y(X_n = z). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{1}_{\{X_{n+m} = z\}} \stackrel{\theta_m}{=} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_n = z\}}$

To prove Markov 1 we leverage Theorem (Monotone Class Theorem) let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\pi$ -system containing  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a collection of functions that satisfies:

- (i) If  $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathbb{1}_A \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (ii) If  $f, g \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow f + g \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $cf \in \mathcal{H} \forall c \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iii) If  $f_n \in \mathcal{H}$  are nonnegative and increase to a bounded function  $f \Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Then,  $\mathcal{H}$  contains all bounded functions measurable with respect to  $\sigma(A)$ .  $\rightarrow$

Exercise prove this using the  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  Thm.

Lemma 1: For any bounded measurable  $f$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_{n+1}) | \mathcal{F}_n] = \int P(X_n, dy) f(y).$$

Proof of Lemma 1: Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the collection of functions for bounded functions so that the identity holds. Let  $A = \{X_{n+1} \in B\}$

Markov  $\circ$  show that (i) holds so the result follows from the Mono. Class Thm.  $\square$

TO BE CONTINUED...