

## Nonlinear Optimization 2, Spring 2025 - Homework 2

Due at 11:49PM on Friday 2/28 (Gradescope)

Your submitted solutions to assignments should be your own work. You are allowed to discuss homework problems with other students, but should carry out the execution of any thoughts/directions discussed independently, on your own. Acknowledge any source you consult. **Do not use any type of Large Language Model, e.g., ChatGPT, to blindly answer this assignment. If you do, your submission will be voided and you will get zero as a grade.**

### Problem 1 - Directional derivative formulae

- (a) Let  $f: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be continuous and directionally differentiable at zero such that there exists  $g \in \mathbf{E}$  satisfying  $f'(x; v) = \langle g, v \rangle$  for all  $v \in \mathbf{E}$ . Find a counterexample to show that this does not necessarily imply that  $f$  is differentiable at zero.
- (b) Let  $f: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a proper, closed, convex function. Let  $x \in \text{dom } f$ , show that for any  $v$ , the directional derivative  $f'(x, v)$  exists and, moreover, it is equal to

$$f'(x; v) = \sup_{g \in \partial f(x)} \langle g, v \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, v \in \mathbf{E}.$$

- (c) Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be differentiable functions and define  $h(x) = \max_{j \in [k]} f_j(x)$ . Prove that  $h$  is directionally differentiable for any  $v \in \mathbf{E}$ . Further, prove that

$$h'(x; v) = \max_{j \in M(x)} \langle \nabla f_j(x), v \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, v \in \mathbf{E},$$

where  $M(x) = \{i \in [k] \mid f_i(x) = h(x)\}$ .

### Problem 2 - Arguments that we missed

Show the following two things we did not prove in class.

- (a) Let  $f: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  and  $g: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be convex functions, and  $A: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be a linear map. Define the value function  $\nu: \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$  given by  $\nu(z) = \inf_{x \in \mathbf{E}} f(x) + g(Ax + z)$ .
- (1) Show that  $\nu$  is a convex function.
  - (2) In Lecture 6, we concluded that if  $\nu(0)$  is finite and  $0 \in \text{int}\{\text{dom}(g) - A \text{dom}(f)\}$ , then there exists a  $y \in \partial \nu(0)$ . To do this we used the "Existence of subgradients" Theorem from Lecture 4. However, this theorem only applies to functions whose image land in  $\mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , why can we apply it to  $\nu$ ? (Recall that we saw an example where  $\nu(1) = -\infty$  in Lecture 7).
- (b) Let  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{E}$  be an arbitrary collection of points. Consider the following three statements.

- (1) The function  $f(x) = \log\left(\sum_{i \in [m]} \exp(\langle a_i, x \rangle)\right)$  is bounded below.

- (2) There exists a vector  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+^m$  such that  $\sum_{i \in [m]} \lambda_i = 1$  and  $\sum_{i \in [m]} \lambda_i a_i = 0$ .  
 (3) There is no vector  $x \in \mathbf{E}$  such that  $\langle a_i, x \rangle < 0$  for all  $i \in [m]$ .

In class we proved that (1) implies (2). Show that (2) implies (3) and (3) implies (1).

### Problem 3 - Duality with cones

- (a) (**Krein-Rutman Theorem**) Consider a linear map  $A: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , and the indicator functions of convex cones  $K \subseteq \mathbf{E}$  and  $H \subseteq \mathbf{Y}$ . Compute  $\partial \iota_K(0)$  and use subdifferential calculus to find conditions guaranteeing  $(K \cap A^{-1}H)^+ = K^+ + A^*H^+$  where  $A^{-1}H = \{x \in \mathbf{E} \mid Ax \in H\}$ .  
 (b) Given a nonempty set  $K \subseteq \mathbf{E}$ , by considering  $\iota_K^{**}$ , prove  $K = K^{++}$  if, and only if,  $K$  is a closed convex cone.  
 (c) Suppose that the closed convex cones  $K \subseteq \mathbf{E}$  and  $H \subseteq \mathbf{E}$  satisfy the condition  $K^+ \cap \text{int } H^+ \neq \emptyset$ . Prove  $K + H$  is closed.  
 (d) Prove the sum of the closed convex cones in  $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$

$$\{(x, r) \mid \|x\|_2 \leq r\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{(x, r) \mid x_1 = 0, r = x_2\}$$

is not closed. **Hint:** consider the point  $-(1, 1, 1)$ .

### Problem 4 - Von Neumann Minimax Theorem

Suppose the sets  $C \subseteq \mathbf{E}$  and  $D \subseteq \mathbf{Y}$  are nonempty and convex, with  $D$  closed.

- (a) By considering the Fenchel problem

$$\inf_x \{\iota_C(x) + \iota_D^*(Ax)\}$$

prove that if either of the next conditions hold

- (i)  $D$  is bounded,  
 (ii)  $A$  is surjective and  $0 \in \text{int } C$ ,

then

$$\inf_{x \in C} \sup_{y \in D} \langle Ax, y \rangle = \sup_{y \in D} \inf_{x \in C} \langle Ax, y \rangle$$

where the supremum on the right is attained whenever finite.

- (b) If both  $C$  and  $D$  are compact, prove

$$\min_{x \in C} \max_{y \in D} \langle Ax, y \rangle = \max_{y \in D} \min_{x \in C} \langle Ax, y \rangle$$

where all the maxima and minima are attained.