Lecture 21

HW 4 due Today, Expect HW 5 soon.

Scribe?

Last time

D Rank 2 updates

D BFGS

D DFP

Chasi-Newton methods convergence

avarantees

quaramtees

Analyzing the iterates directly is hard instead we show that the trajectories of the iterates are similar to those

of other algorithms.

The guarantees we are about to see are weak, they only apply to strongly convex functions.

In practice, awasi-Newtod method work well for most functions.

We will see two results:

Theorem: (Linear convergence) Let Bo be a positive definite matrix and let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 function, such that $MI \preceq \nabla f^2(x) \preceq LI$.

Mistrongly (L-smooth convex)

Then, the iterates of BFGS converge linearly $\chi_{\kappa} \rightarrow \chi^{*}$.

Theorem: (Local Superlinear convergence) Let Bo be a PO matrix and $f:\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ \mathbb{C}^2 , with a minimizer x^* with $\nabla^2 f(x^*) > 0$ and for all x, y near x^* we have $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\| - \nabla^2 f(y)\| \le C \|x - y\|$,

Then, if x_0 starts close enough to x^* we have that the iterates of BFGS converges superlinearly $\chi_{K} \rightarrow \chi^*$.

Today ve focus on proving a reaker version of the first Theorem (we shall jonly prove convergence). See Nocedal 4 Wright Section 6.4 for additional proofs. The argument is based on the following result: Theorem () Let f: Rd > R be L-smooth. Consider an update XK+1 - NK + WKPK where px is descent direction an Kx satisfies the Wolfe Conditions. Then, Z costox 117 fox x/11/2 0. angle between Px and - $\nabla f(x_k)$

Proof: By the second Wolfe cond.

(c-1) $\nabla f(x_k)^T p_k \leq (\nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x_k)^T p_k)$

XKHI- XK = OKPK = OK L II PK 112 $\alpha_{\kappa} \geq (c-1) \nabla f c \kappa \kappa^{1} \rho_{\kappa}$ Using the first Wolfe Condition PCX Keil & PCX K) - N (2-C) (PFCX K) PK)2

IPRIL2 COSO = Law = PCXx) - N (1-C) COS2 Ox 117 fixmil Rewring $\leq f(x_0) - \eta \frac{(1-c)}{L} \sum_{j=0}^{K} \cos^2\theta_j ||\nabla f(x_j)||^2$ Reordering D'cost & Maf (xi) 112 & L (f(xo) -minf)
Letting KTO, yields the result.

Idea: If we show that COS2 D12 2 8 70 => Riminf 11 of CXXII2 >0. This evough to have convergen ce por strongly convex functions since Descent flxiti) - minf & f(xx)-minf 1 2 (f (xx+1) - min f) < 11 \f(xx+1) 113, Error bound from midterm. and Muxx-x*112 & flxw - min f. chadratic growth. Proof: We focus on showing WS 8 2 8 70. where Ox = angle (Bx Vf(xx), -Vf(xx))

Note that SRIE - ON OK Of CXIC) Then uragle (Skin Brskin = Or. We will prove a boung voing He relative entropy. Define Y(B) = tr(B) - log(det(B)) One can show Y(b) > 0 for b>0. Let's show that if $\cos \Theta_{k}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ ⇒ Y(Bk) <0 for large K. Facts: E Check! 4x/cos20x Lx tr(Bk+1)= tr(Bk)-11Bx5k+2112+119k+11 STBRSKI YTISKI det (BK) = det (BK) YK+SK+1 SKILBKSKHI

12×112 5 14, 62 K 5,41 We define skylik skyli skylik 115/4/11/2 YK+2 K+1 Then, $n \leq M_k$ and LK & L. (A) Further define 9 K = SK+1 BK SK+1. USK+1 1/2 Then, det (BK+1) = det (BK) MK
qK and 11 BK SKEILL 118 KEILL (SKEIT BKSKEI) ILBRSKHIIZ = ILBRSKHIIT IISKHIIZ (SKHIB) SKHIIZ (SKHIB) SKHIIZ 9 K Cosi Ox

Thus,

$$Y(B_{K+1}) = tr(B_{K}) + L_{K} - \frac{Q_{K}}{\cos^{2}\theta_{K}}$$

$$- \ln(\det B_{K}) - \ln q_{K} + \ln n_{K}$$

$$= Y(B_{K}) + (L_{K} - \ln n_{K} - 1)$$

$$+ \left[1 - \frac{Q_{K}}{\cos^{2}\theta_{K}} + \ln \frac{Q_{K}}{\cos^{2}\theta_{K}}\right] + \ln \omega^{2}\theta$$

$$= \frac{1 - t + \ln(t)}{\cos^{2}\theta_{K}} \leq 0 \quad \forall t > 0$$

$$\leq Y(B_{K}) + L - \ln n_{K} - 1$$

$$+ \ln \cos^{2}\theta_{K}$$

$$\leq Y(B_{0}) + C(K+1) + \sum_{j=0}^{K} \ln \cos^{2}\theta_{j}$$
Assume secking contradiction

Assume seeking contradiction that $\cos^2\theta_i \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \ln \cos^2\theta_i \rightarrow -\infty$.

Let Ko>o s.t Yj> Ko ln cos²6; 4-2c. TWS, $0 \le \Psi(B_k) \le \Psi(B_0) + c(k+1) + \sum_{j=0}^{k_0} l_n cos^2 \theta_j - \sum_{j=0}^{k} 2c$ = Y(B0) + 2 ln cos20; + 2ck, +c - ck. For large K. Let's prove (A), note that $\mathcal{J}_{k+1} = \nabla f(x_{k-1}) - \nabla f(x_{k})$ $= \int_{0}^{1} \nabla^{2} f(x_{k} + t(x_{k-1}, x_{k})) (x_{k-1}, x_{k-1}) dt$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \nabla^{2} f(x_{k} + t(x_{k-1}, x_{k})) (x_{k} - x_{k-1})$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \nabla^{2} f(x_{k} + t(x_{k-1}, x_{k})) dt \int_{0}^{1} s_{k+1}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \nabla^{2} f(x_{k} + t(x_{k-1}, x_{k})) dt \int_{0}^{1} s_{k+1}$$

Since G_{K} is an integral of Hessians

NITGKYLI

which implies (A).