

# Lecture 14

HW 3 due an hour ago

Midterm release tomorrow at 7 am.

Last time

- ▷ Black-box convex optimization
- ▷ Things that break
- ▷ Analysis

Today

- ▷ Stochastic Gradient Descent
- ▷ Examples
- ▷ Analysis

## Stochastic Gradient Methods

Before we had an exact gradient oracle

$$x \mapsto \nabla f(x).$$

Now we have an stochastic gradient oracle

$$x \mapsto g(x, z).$$

random variable  
iid at each call

Such that

$$\mathbb{E}_z g(x, z) = \nabla f(x) \quad (\text{Unbiased})$$

$$\mathbb{E} (\|g(x, z) - \nabla f(x)\|^2) \leq \sigma^2$$

$$\mathbb{E} [\|g(x, z)\|^2] \leq \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + \sigma^2$$

(Bounded variance)

A natural algorithm updates

Draw  $z_k$ .

$$x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k - \alpha_k \overbrace{g(x_k, z_k)}^{g_k}.$$

# Relevant properties of the expectation

## ▷ Linearity

Given  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  r.v. and constants  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i X_i \right] = \sum \lambda_i \mathbb{E} X_i.$$

## ▷ Tower law

Given two random variables  $X, Y$

$$\mathbb{E}_X \left[ \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{conditional} \\ \text{expectation}}}{\mathbb{E}[Y|X]} \right] = \mathbb{E}[Y]$$

## Examples of oracles

### Example 1: Coordinate approach

We want to solve  $\min f(x)$  with  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Pick  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  uniformly at random.

$$\text{Set } g(x, i) = d \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \cdot e_i$$

Let's check that it is unbiased

$$\mathbb{E} [g(x)] = \frac{1}{d} \sum d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \cdot e_i$$

$$= \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \cdot e_i = \nabla f(x).$$

(Check that  $\sigma$  depends on the dim).

## Example 2: Finite sum

Suppose we want to minimize

$$\min_x \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \quad \leftarrow \text{we have seen many examples}$$

Then

$$g(x, i) = \nabla f_i(x)$$

yields an unbiased gradient oracle.

One can prove that if  $\nabla f_i$   $L$ -Lips

$$\mathbb{E} [\| \nabla f_i(x) - \frac{1}{n} \sum \nabla f_i(x) \|^2] \leq 2L^2 \|x\|^2.$$

## Example 3: Stochastic programming (Infinite sum)

Suppose we want to solve

$$\min_x \mathbb{E}_z f(x, z)$$

and we only have access to samples  $z$ .

Then

$$g(x, z) = \nabla f(x, z).$$

This is unbiased by definition.

## Example 4: Improved oracles for finite sums

Idea 1: Look at batches / minibatches of samples.

Pick  $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $|S| = k$  uniformly at random with or without replacement.

Take

$$g(x, S) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i \in S} \nabla f_i(x)$$

which is clearly unbiased.

### Intuition

Consider i.i.d. r.v.  $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \right) = \frac{1}{k^2} \text{Var}(X_i)$$

← Better to consider  $k > 1$

### Idea 2: Variance reduction

Compute full gradients every now and then

$$\text{then } \nabla f(\tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \nabla f_i(\tilde{x}).$$

Pick  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  uniformly at random

$$g(x, i) = \nabla f(\tilde{x}) + \underbrace{\nabla f_i(x) - \nabla f_i(\tilde{x})}_{\text{small when } x - \tilde{x} \text{ is small and } f \text{ is } L\text{-Lipschitz.}}$$

small when  $x - \tilde{x}$  is small and  $f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz.



It is also unbiased

$$\mathbb{E}[g(x, i)] = \nabla f(\tilde{x}) + \mathbb{E} \nabla f_i(x) - \mathbb{E} \nabla f_i(\tilde{x})$$

These two cancel out.

One can show that when  $\nabla f_i$  is  $L$ -Lips, then

$$\mathbb{E} [\underbrace{\|\nabla f(\tilde{x}) - \nabla f(x) + (\nabla f_i(x) - \nabla f_i(\tilde{x}))\|^2}_{g(x, i) - \nabla f(x)}] \leq 4L^2 \|x - \tilde{x}\|^2$$

can be made small.

SVRG [Johnson, Zhang, 2013].

Analysis for nonconvex functions.

Theorem Suppose  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $L$ -smooth and  $g(x, z)$  is an unbiased estimator such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|g(x, z) - \nabla f(x)\|^2] \leq \sigma^2 \quad \forall x.$$

Then the iterates of stochastic gradient descent with  $0 < \alpha_k < 2/L$  satisfy

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \min_{k \leq T} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2 \right] \leq \frac{(f(x_0) - \min f) + \frac{\sigma^2 L}{2} \sum_{k=0}^T \alpha_k^2}{\sum_{k=0}^T \alpha_k \left( 1 - \frac{L \alpha_k}{2} \right)}$$

Proof: By the Taylor Approximation Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_{k+1}) &\leq f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)^T (x_{k+1} - x_k) + \frac{L}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 \\ &= f(x_k) - \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k)^T g_k + \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2} \|g_k\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Conditioning on  $x_k$

$$\begin{aligned} E[f(x_{k+1}) | x_k] &\leq f(x_k) - \alpha_k E[\nabla f(x_k)^T g_k | x_k] + \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2} E[\|g_k\|^2 | x_k] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Linearity}}{=} f(x_k) - \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k)^T E[g_k | x_k] + \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2} E[\|g_k\|^2 | x_k] \\ &\leq f(x_k) - \alpha_k \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2} [\sigma^2 + \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2] \\ &= f(x_k) - \left(\alpha_k + \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2}\right) \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2} \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

random because of  $z_k$

By Tower Law

$$\begin{aligned} E[f(x_{k+1})] &\leq E\left[f(x_k) - \left(\alpha_k + \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2}\right) \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2} \sigma^2\right] \end{aligned}$$

By recursively applying this formula

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_{T+1})] \leq \mathbb{E}f(x_0) - \sum_{k=0}^T \left(\alpha_k - \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2}\right) \mathbb{E}\|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^T \frac{L\alpha_k}{2} \sigma^2$$

The result follows from reordering and using the fact that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\min_{k \leq T} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2\right] \sum_{k=0}^T \left(\alpha_k - \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2}\right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^T \left(\alpha_k - \frac{L\alpha_k^2}{2}\right) \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2]. \quad \square$$

Next time we will make a  $O(1/k^{1/4})$  in the general case and  $O(1/k^{1/2})$  in the convex case.