Lecture 16

D Two-sided bounds on the singular values of sub-Gaussian matrices D Clustering a Gaussian Mixture.

Covariance Estimation

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a popular tecnique to reduce the dimension (adaptively). Suppose we have an iid sample $X_1, ..., X_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with X, ND. When d is large, it makes sense to find try to find a projection Ca: Rd -> U S Rd onto a subspace U that encodes "most interesting" dimensions of the distribution D.

Lemma: Suppose X~D whose covarian ce Z has eigenvalues l, ≥ l₂≥... ≥ l₄≥0 and eigenvectors u,,..., ud, tren YKEId]

$$\lambda_{k} = \max_{V \perp \{u_{1}, \dots, u_{k-1}\}, ||V|| = 1} \operatorname{Var}(\langle X, v \rangle)$$

and the maximum is attained at U_k . —
Thus, we believe that we can measure how interesting a direction is with its variance, then it makes sense to try to compute the top K eigenvectors of Σ , call them $U_k = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \dots & u_k \end{bmatrix}$ and define $\alpha = UU_k$.

 $\sqrt{\lambda_2}v_2\sqrt{\sqrt{\lambda_1}v_1}$

200 random points, top eigenvectors scaled by standard deviations.

Issue: We don't have access to E. But, we can approximate it using samples via

 $Z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^T$

Because of the law of large numbers

we know that $\Sigma_n \to \Sigma$ a.s. But how large does n have to be for $11\Sigma_n - Z11_{op} \subseteq E$ w.h.p?

Theorem: Let X be a sub-Gaussian random vector in Rd with EX=0 and Exx¹=E.

Moreover, assume

Moreover, assume $||\langle X, x \rangle||_{Y_2} \le ||\langle X, x \rangle|$

Proof: To apply the main result from lecture 15, we modify X; to make them isotropic. In particular we let

 $Z = Z^{1/2}X$ and $Z_1 = Z^{-1/2}X_1$. Then, it is not hard to check that E Z = 0, $E Z Z^{-1} = I$, $I Z II_{Y_1} \le K$.

Hence, me can rewrite

For diagonal matrices 110 12 11 op = 11011 op = 12 || op || 1 = = = [- I || op. (0) Thus, if we consider the matrix A with rows given by Zi, we get ATA = 2 2,2%.
Applying (w) from lecture 15 gives $\|R_n\|_{op} \leq CK^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} + \frac{d}{n}\right)$ substituting this mto (0) completes the proof. Corollary: Consider the setting of the previous theorem. There JC>0 s.t. for all E E (0,1) if NZCE'N Ellzn-Sllop & Ellzllop. Clustering Gaussian Mixtures Let's illustrate another type of

clustering application, this time for point clouds as opposed to networks.

Def (Gaussian Mixture Model): Generale n random points in IR" iid as follows: 1. Flip a fair coin Si.

2. Draw a point X from N(s. m., Id).

Analogously, we could define

 $X = 5\mu + 8 \sim N(0, I_d)$.

with s and g independent.

n = 3000 points drawn from GMM with means $t_{\mu} = \pm (1.6, 0)$.

Given observations X,,..., Xn our goal is to estimate the labels S,..., Sn. Once more we use a spectral method that trics to look for a direction of maximum variance.

Spectral Clustering Algorithm Input: Samples X., ..., X., EIR^d 1. Compute the sample covariace $\Sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i X_i^T$

2. Compute the top eigenvector $v = u_1(Z_n)$.

3. For all iEEn], output $\hat{s}_i = sign(\langle X, v \rangle)$.

What we have proven so far can be used to establish the following result.

Theorem: Let X,,..., Xn be points

in Rd drawn from GMM(n). There exists C70 s.t. if nz Cd and II, MI2 ≥ C, then with probability at least 0.99 the Spectral Clustering Algorithm only misclassifies at most 1% of the points.

Prove this result!

Remark: 1) The drameter of a point cloud drawn from N(0, Id) is on the order of \sqrt{n} , yet a small amount of separation $||M|| \times 1$ suffices for classification.

2) This is optimal (one cannot do better than nzCd. However when g~N(0, Z) with Z unknown, the picture becomes way more manced. See "Clustering a mixture of Gaussian with unknown covariance" by D. Davis, K. Wang L the instructor.